

Our Readers Write

Dear Editor,

The post-election rhetoric against George W. Bush is as shrill and unreasonable as any we heard during the campaign. As the winner, he is now expected to make all the "necessary" concessions to placate Jesse Jackson and Al Sharpton, as well as the various other factions who, not only didn't support his candidacy, but voted throughout as if he had no right to be in the race. One would think this was a monarchy, with Al Gore's Ascension to the throne being thwarted by some ill-bred commoner from Texas.

Close as the election was, G.W. did prevail, even when Democrat rules kept changing as more votes were needed to narrow the gap. And those of us who supported him should be making loud noises in his behalf to drown out the crybabies, who, even as we write, are in Florida again voting tainted ballots in the hope of providing us with a reasonable facsimile of the eight years now blissfully coming to a close.

We in this household shall not easily forget these years, for our country was literally sold out for illegal campaign funds and how Al Gore lied about it, finding "no controlling legal authority" against it. Abortion escalated to astronomical heights—should we say sunk to unspeakable depths?—and Gore promised to continue safeguarding a woman's right to have her baby killed by suctioning his brains out during the birthing process. This is a man who, as President, would take away our Second Amendment rights, leaving us helpless and hopeless in our own homes while he and his family enjoyed the tax-paid protection of armed bodyguards; a man who would declare war on hard-working, tax-paying tobacco farmers whose livelihood depends on this crop to feed their families while his every comfort is provided by the sweat of folks like these. He expected a lot, didn't he?

Well, as was inevitable, there came a day when many Democrats felt they'd had enough. This is a party that has dealt its most faithful and ardent supporters a rough hand in play these past eight years. And, try as they might, it became more and more difficult for God-fearing loyalists to justify the scandals that mounted daily and to swallow the lies that accompanied each one. The Scriptures they lived by literally forbade it!

All of which explains the extraordinary number of no-votes cast for President this year while the ballot was clearly marked for other races. Neither candidate was to their liking, so, after pausing for a moment, perhaps with the stylus resting lightly over one name or the other, it was withdrawn, leaving the top of the ticket blank, or slightly denied.

The voting members of our family can relate to this, as we, too, had planned to skip the presidential section of the ballot had George W. picked a certain individual being briefly mentioned as his running mate, or had the Republicans nominated

one of the others who ran in the primaries. Both major parties have misfits wearing this label, but it behooves us to avail ourselves of everything there is to know before electing them to office.

Though lifelong Republicans, we both agree and state emphatically, that if our party should ever remove the pro-life plank from its platform our outrage would be such that we could no longer, in good conscience, vote for either candidate, notwithstanding the more acceptable GOP stand on other issues. The right to life takes precedent over all others, including Liberty and the pursuit of happiness, and certainly over the right to vote or to have that vote counted. For without life all other rights become moot. It seems obvious that much of the electorate based their decision on this very life and death issue. And Gore couldn't accept the result.

We respectfully disagree with some who have assessed Al Gore as a statesman. If he so much as knew the meaning of the word, he would have taken a lesson from Richard Nixon whose 1960 position was that the country could not endure the strife and division such as we've been going through since November 8. Overturning an election was not the legacy Nixon wished to leave, despite the fact that three mail sacks of counted Republican ballots had been found hidden away in a Chicago bank vault, not to mention all the votes of dead people his opponents had registered and brought into the voting booth, items of whom would, no doubt, have died "before voting a Democrat ticket."

These and many glaring irregularities in other states, reportedly, would have given Nixon an undisputed victory over Kennedy, but a

true statesman had the final word and the country was the better for having been spared the trauma. Yet now, looking back, one has to wonder whether a good thing then might have prevented the battle of 2000. At some point, it appears, a line had to be drawn; a sad but necessary step to discourage future conflicts.

Though the U.S. Supreme Court has ruled against the "inconvenient" methods used in the recount process, the incessant clamor that "every vote must be counted" continues. This sounds good on the surface, but what it really means is that "every" machine-registed ballot with a microscopic pin prick, thumbtack scratch, dimpled, pimpled, pregnant or otherwise

disturbed chad can be construed as having been cast by a Democrat should be tabulated for Al Gore, and all other slightly questionable ballots trash-canned. This is evidenced by Gore's behind-the-scenes effort to disenfranchise thousands of foreign men and women serving in military countries or on ships far out at sea. This, while at the same time, frantically to become their Commander-in-Chief!

It's true that we all enjoy the right to vote, but none can be guaranteed that our vote will be counted even once, let alone up to four times! Certainly there can be no law requiring the use of a counting system that is contrary to that for which the ballot was designed. Hand-counting of machine ballots is as illogical as using a machine to count old fashioned hand-written ballots from years past.

Get real! We voters must simply follow instructions to the best of our ability and trust that we got it right, knowing full well that if we didn't our vote can't be counted, a time-honored rule that provides the incentive to read and follow arrows. But now we have a class of people who will henceforth believe they should be given preferential treatment based on their stated "intent" to have done something different—in the event their side loses! With all talk of election reform, it's doubtful that a machine could ever be developed with a reliable ability to read the voter's intent and correct it on the spot.

One of the troubling aspects of all this maneuvering is the effect it could have on our young people. How can we instill in them the importance of playing clean and losing gracefully when they see how their leaders conduct themselves? It appears the genie is out of the bottle. Our only hope is

that he can be stuffed back inside before irreparable damage has been done to our form of government and the respect we've enjoyed throughout the FREE World.

Sincerely,
Mr. & Mrs. Ivan McKinney
West Chester, OH

Dear Editor,

My name is Anjana Dhangra and I'm a eighth grader at Palomni Middle School in Lake Villa, Illinois. My mass communications class is writing letters to editors across the country, in hopes of being published. And this is why I'm writing to you.

Why there is an increase in teenage pregnancies? A lot of teenage girls are getting pregnant everyday. Teenage girls get pregnant while they are in school and become a full time mom instead of going to school for higher education. These girls don't care about themselves and their child's future. It affects several families, communities, and for the most part our nation's future lies in the hand of these teenagers. So there should be some way to control this rising problem, so that these youngsters could have bright and successful futures ahead.

Sincerely,
Anjana Dhangra
Lake Villa, IL 60046

Dear Editor,

Our Founding Fathers gave us a government of checks and balances who comes as close as is possible to precluding tyranny. It doesn't respond as quickly as the parliamentary system, so as to preclude hasty and harmful action. It has been seriously eroded when a Clinton can take us to war, as with Yugoslavia in 1999 without the constitutionally mandated consent of a Congress which has been intimidated into the "consent of silence" from insisting on its constitutional responsibility to declare war. But our Constitution still places a major impediment to tyranny. Thomas Jefferson said, "Put not your faith in men, but bind them down by the checks of the Constitution."

The Electoral College is a part of this system of checks and balances by giving a slightly better representation to our less populous states, as is proper in that the Tenth Amendment clearly says that the states are the primary government entities in our federal system (another constitutional

right which has been seriously eroded). The vast midsection of the U.S. is sometimes referred to by the liberals as "fly over country" (flying the liberal northeast with the left coast). The Electoral College, thus, is a needed offset against the tyranny of the left-wing population centers of the U.S. and it makes it possible to avoid a constitutional crisis of no President in such a case as exists in Election 2000 in possibly endless court action, because the Electoral College can use its discretion to offset such tactics, as is also the responsibility of the Congress.

In the late 19th century the English billionaire, Cecil Rhodes, funded a movement for one world government, including the Rhodes Scholarship program of which Clinton partook. In England the Round Table Group was established to pursue this goal. It engendered its American counterpart, the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), which has had hundreds of its member in top positions in every administration since FDR's. It has been referred to as America's Invisible Government, and its goal is the New World Order one world government. Americans have been convinced to see a one world government as benevolent (a la Star Trek), whereas, the lesson of history is that greater concentration of political power has always resulted in greater tyranny. Instead of the American constitutional system where our rights are acknowledged to come from God, in a one world government the structure would be the where the Articles of the Constitution are patterned on the Soviet Constitution. Over 50 million Russians murdered by the Soviet government over 72 years clearly attest to how much protection of individual rights was in that Constitution.

In 1776 Adam Weishaupt and Meyer Amshel Rothschild formed The Illuminati to pursue the goal of one world government. One item in the Illuminati Manifesto was that, to gain control of a government, it was necessary to first gain control of the media. As of now the dominant media in the U.S. is controlled by eight mega-corporations, all pursuing the one world government goal of the CFR. Is this a conspiracy? Whatever happened to the Sherman Anti-Trust Act in its most vital institution in protecting our freedoms? I estimate that not 10% of Americans receive the vital political truth available in the alternate media, which would include talk radio, many weekly newspapers and the Internet. The Election 2000 results prove it.

The dominant media control the politicians (who appoint the judges) and control the presidential contest (witness how the media froze Buchanan, Phillips and Nader out of the presidential debates so the American public would remain ignorant of the critical issues which they would raise). Thus, in our Republic one party system, there is very little left of checks and balances.

In 1982, The Committee on the Constitutional system was formed of some 200 prominent citizens (CFR elite). In 1985, they published a book entitled "Reforming American Government" which was a review of some 40 articles by 29 of their members. Their conclusion was that "The Constitution was outmoded and had to be replaced." "But only after a crisis is created that will convince us of the need to do so." The opportunity to create this crisis appears to have arisen in Election 2000. Next to paper ballots, hand counted, supervised and recorded, the Florida punch-card ballot is probably least open to fraud

This was the early computer system used by banks, and they never made a mistake. On the other hand, the hand count of these ballots with their fragile chad is highly susceptible to fraud by an activist counter. Joseph Stalin said, "Those who cast the ballots decide nothing. Those who count the ballots decide everything."

With the left-wing dominant media stridently propagandizing the Gore agenda for hand counts of dimpled ballots in heavily democratic districts, where, perhaps, divine revelation would inform activist vote counters of how that dimple got there and that it must really be a vote for Gore, and with hundreds of lawyers pursuing every possible legal avenue, it appears this "needed crisis" is now at hand and will continue even after a Bush affirmation to de-legitimize his presidency. Coincidentally, it appears from talk show host, Michael Melved,

that Clinton has said, if the election is not resolved, he would extend the presidency. However, he could only do this by overriding the Constitution which places the responsibility to resolve such an issue in the Congress. But the one who has ruled so frequently by Executive Order in matters which are a legislative responsibility is not one to let the Constitution stand in his way. Of all these usurpations of legislative authority, only once has Congress stood in his way, when E O 13083 would have preempted the Tenth Amendment, and this was only due to a storm of protest from the people. Of these usurpations his aide, Paul Begala, said, "Stroke of the pen, law of the land, kinda cool." Hitler could not have said it better. While America Sleeps!

Col. Frank J. Gnadinger,
USAFR Ret.
Louisville, KY

E-mail the Signal at
mvsignal@sun-spot.com

Land Surveying, Drafting, Subdivisions
Oil & Gas Well Locations, Residential,
Commercial, Mortgage Inspections,
Industrial, Complete Surveying Services



BLANTON LAND SURVEYING

JAMES D. BLANTON
KY Registered Land Surveyor

143 Cloud Subdivision
London, KY 40741

(606) 864-8460

Wishing you all the joys of
a wonderful Christmas and
a year filled with happiness



**Sheriff Shirley Smith
& Deputies**

Pictured above, front row, from left: Sonya Parker, Sheriff Smith, Libby Smith. Back row, from left: Trevor Hemsley, Darrell Doan, Shannon Franklin, Jimmie Silvers and Charles Gray.
(Not shown: Rodney Vanzant, Leonard Miller, Ronnie Roark)